Isolation of the First Gallium Hydrosulphido Complex and its Facile Conversion to a Ga_4S_4 Cubane: X-Ray Structures of $[(Bu^t)_2Ga(\mu-SH)]_2$ and $[(Bu^t)GaS]_4$

Michael B. Power and Andrew R. Barron*

Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

The reaction of $(Bu^t)_3Ga$ with an excess of H_2S in pentane at ambient temperature results in the formation of the hydrosulphido bridged dimer [$(Bu^t)_2Ga(\mu-SH)$]₂, 1, which upon mild thermolysis is converted to the cubic tetramer [$(Bu^t)GaS$]₄ 2. Compounds 1 and 2 have been characterized by IR, NMR and mass spectroscopy and their molecular structures have been determined by X-ray crystallography.

The controlled reaction of group 13 alkyls, R_3M , with water is often extremely exothermic, however, in many instances it is possible to obtain the intermediate hydroxide complex which on thermolysis at moderate temperatures (25–60 °C) results in the formation of an oxide [eqn.(1)].¹ In contrast, the reaction with H₂S results in the direct formation of other insoluble polymeric sulphides, presumably *via* unstable hydrosulphido complexes.² Our recent isolation of an exceptionally stable hydroxide complex [(Bu^t)₂Ga(μ -OH)]₃,³ whose decomposition to the oxide only occurs above 140 °C, has prompted us to investigate the possible synthesis, and isolation, of its sulphur analogue. In addition, the presence of the sterically hindered *tert*-butyl ligand should limit oligomerization of the sulphide, allowing for its isolation and structural characterization.

$$R_{3}M \xrightarrow{+H_{2}O}_{-RH} [R_{2}MOH]_{n} \xrightarrow{heat}_{-RH} (RMO)_{x}$$
(1)

The interaction of a cooled (-10 °C) pentane solution of $(Bu^t)_3Ga^4$ with an excess of H₂S yields a small quantity of an insoluble sulphide (see below), and a colourless solution from which may be obtained in moderate yield the crystalline complex $[(Bu^t)_2Ga(\mu-SH)]_2$ 1. The dimeric nature of 1 was established by mass spectrometry (MS),† while IR and NMR spectroscopic data† are consistent with the presence of a single isomer, in which the bridging hydrosulphido ligands adopt an *anti* conformation.⁵ The molecular structure of 1 has been confirmed by X-ray crystallography.‡

Compound 1 exists as a centrosymmetric dimer as shown in Fig. 1. One of the *tert*-butyl groups [C(2)] on each gallium shows a rotational disorder about the Ga(1)–C(2) bond, and only the major isomer (*ca.* 55%) is shown in the Figure. The heavy atom core geometry of 1 is similar to that found in [(Ph)₂Ga(μ -SEt)]₂,⁶ with a slight increase in the C–Ga–C and Ga–S–Ga bond angles, consistent with the increased Bu^t–Bu^t *vs.* Ph–Ph and reduced H–Bu^t *vs.* Et–Ph steric interactions. The Ga–S bond distances are within the ranges reported previously for alkylthio and dithiolato complexes of gallium (2.26–2.46 Å).^{6,7} The hydrosulphido hydrogen was located, freely refined, and the ligand adopts the *anti* conformation indicated by ¹H NMR and IR spectroscopy.

Although an increasing number of hydrosulphido complexes have been reported in recent years,⁸ compound 1 is not only the first isolated group 13 complex, but is, to the best of our knowledge, the only example with only σ -bound organic groups as ancillary ligands. Since the dimeric structure of 1 is undoubtedly similar to that of the less hindered, non-isolable

 $\ddagger Crystal data: 1 C_{16}H_{38}Ga_2S_2, M = 434.0, triclinic, space group P1$ (No. 2), a = 6.573(4), b = 8.373(5), c = 11.056(5) Å, $\alpha = 10\overline{3}.49(4)$, β = 103.56(5), $\gamma = 102.16(5)$, V = 552.1(5) Å³, $D_c = 1.305$ g cm⁻³, Z =1, F(000) = 228, Mo-Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $\mu = 2.607$ mm⁻¹. Nicolet R3mV four circle diffractometer, 1148 reflections collected, 20 range 4-40°, semi-empirical absorption correction, 846 unique reflections with $F > 4\sigma(F)$. Structure solved by direct methods (Ga and S atoms) and Fourier difference synthesis. Refined by full-matrix least-squares with Ga, S, and C atoms anisotropic. Hydrosulphide H atom located and refined isotropically. Organic ligand H atoms AFIXed and refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 [U_{iso}(C)]$, converged R =0.054, $R_w = 0.068$. 2 C₁₆H₃₆Ga₄S₄, M = 635.6, cubic, space group P23 (No. 195), a = b = c = 8.626(1) Å, V = 641.8(5) Å³, $D_c = 1.644$ g cm⁻³, Z = 1, F(000) = 320, Mo-Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å, $\mu =$ 4.454 mm⁻¹. Nicolet R3mV four circle diffractometer, 909 reflections collected, 20 range 4–55°, semi-empirical absorption correction, 266 unique reflections with $F > 4\sigma(F)$. Structure solved by direct methods (Ga and S atoms) and Fourier difference synthesis. Refined by full-matrix synthesis. Refined by full-matrix least-squares with Ga, S and C atoms anisotropic. Hydrogen atoms AFIXed and refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 [U_{iso}(C)]$, converged R = 0.079, $R_w = 0.098$. Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.



Fig. 1 The molecular structure of $[(Bu^{1})_{2}Ga(\mu-SH)]_{2}$ 1 showing the atom numbering scheme; all the organic hydrogen atoms and the *tert*-butyl methyls of the minor isomer have been omitted for clarity. Bond lengths: Ga(1)–S(1) 2.444(4), Ga(1)–S(1A) 2.421(4), Ga(1)–C(1) 2.018(9), Ga(1)–C(2) 2.00(1), S(1)–H(1) 1.2(1) Å; bond angles: S(1)–Ga(1)–S(1A) 90.5(1), S(1)–Ga(1)–C(1) 107.3(4), S(1)–Ga(1)–C(2) 109.9(4), C(1)–Ga(1)–C(2) 123.9(5), Ga(1)–S(1)–Ga(1A) 89.5(1), Ga(1)–S(1)–H(1) 98(5), Ga(1A)–S(1)–H(1) 95(5)°.



Fig. 2 The molecular structure of $[(Bu^t)GaS]_4$ 2 showing the atom numbering scheme; all the hydrogen atoms and the *tert*-butyl methyls of one of the isomers have been omitted for clarity. Bond lengths: Ga(1)–S(1) 2.359(3), Ga(1)–C(1) 1.978(6) Å; bond angles: S(1)–Ga(1)–C(1) 119.9(5), S(1)–Ga(1)–S(1A) 97.3(1), Ga(1)–S(1)–Ga(1A) 82.1(1)°.

methyl and ethyl derivatives,² the remarkable thermal stability of **1** suggests that the condensation reaction is intermolecular rather than intramolecular. We presume, therefore, that this enhanced stability is due to the steric bulk of the *tert*-butyl substituents on the gallium, which hinders the close approach of two molecules of **1** required for condensation/ elimination to occur.

Thermogravimetric analysis data indicate that compound 1 slowly decomposes in the solid state above 45 °C. Heating a sample of 1 at this temperature results in a 27.1% mass loss (equivalent to loss of Bu^tH, calculated 26.8%), and the formation of a white powder 2, with a composition corresponding to (Bu^t)GaS.[†] This material is spectroscopically identical to that obtained as a side product in the formation of

[†] Selected physical data for 1: colourless plates; m.p. 145–147 °C (dec.) partial conversion to oligomer 2 occurs prior to melting. MS, m/z (EI, %) 401 (2M⁺ – SH, 100%), 287 (2M⁺ – SH – 2Bu^t, 20%), 183 (Bu^t₂Ga, 90%); IR v_{S-H}/cm⁻¹ (Nujol mull): 2552; NMR (CDCl₃ relative to external SiMe₄), ¹H δ 1.11 [18 H, s, C(CH₃)₃], 0.642 (1H, s, S-H); ¹³C δ 30.56 [C(CH₃)₃], 26.24 [C(CH₃)₃]. 2: colourless cubes; m.p. >250 °C, MS (m/z) (EI, %): 636 (4M⁺, 40%) 579 (4M⁺-Bu^t, 100%), 523 [4M⁺ – Bu^t – CH₂=C(CH₃)₂, 20%], 465 (4M⁺ – 3Bu^t, 15%), 408 (4M⁺ – 4Bu^t, 20%) 69 (Ga, 25%); (m/z, CI, isobutane, %): 635 (4M⁺, 100%), 579 (4M⁺-Bu^t, 15%); NMR (C₆D₆, relative to external SiMe₄). ¹H δ 1.16 [C(CH₃)₃]; ¹³C δ 26.85 [C(CH₃)₃ and C(CH₃)₃].

1. Similarly, if a pentane solution of 1 is warmed to reflux, 2 is formed almost quantitatively as an insoluble white powder with the concomitant liberation of *iso*-butane. The mass spectrum of 2^{\dagger} exhibits a peak due to the tetramer [(Bu^t)-GaS]₄, while the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are consistent with a single gallium coordinated *tert*-butyl environment. Crystals of 2 suitable for X-ray diffraction may be formed *via* recrystallization from hot benzene.[‡] Compound 2 is airstable, shows no further reaction in the presence of an excess of H₂S, and may be sublimed without decomposition at 225 °C, atmospheric pressure.

The molecular structure of 2 is shown in Fig. 2, and consists of a distorted cubane core of four gallium atoms and four μ_3 -sulphido groups. The pseudo-tetrahedral coordination sphere at each gallium is completed by a terminally bonded *tert*-butyl group. As was observed for 1 the *tert*-butyl methyls are rotationally disordered about the Ga(1)–C(1) bond, and only one of the isomers is shown in the Figure. The Ga(1)–S(1) bond length in 2 is shorter than those in 1, but longer than the μ_2 -sulphido bridges in the adamantane-like Ga₄I₄(SMe)₄S₂ [2.204(8) Å]⁹ and Ga₄S₁₀^{8–} [2.289(2) Å],¹⁰ consistent with the strain inherent in the cubane structure.

While cubane structures have been reported for aluminium imides¹¹ and phosphides¹² and indium hydroxide,¹³ compound **2** is the first group 13-chalcogenide cubane, previous examples being adamantane-like structures. Finally we note that **2** is isostructural to the Fe₄S₄ cubes present in iron-sulphur electron transfer proteins¹⁴ whose synthetic representations have been studied in considerable detail.¹⁵

We thank the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for financial support.

Received, 17th April 1991; Com. 1/01802G

References

- See, for example, M. E. Kenney and A. W. Laubengayer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1954, 76, 4839; G. S. Smith and J. L. Hoard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 3907; S. J. Dzugan and V. L. Goedken, Inorg. Chem., 1986, 25, 2858; A. M. Arif and A. R. Barron, Polyhedron, 1988, 7, 2091.
- 2 See, for example. M. Boleslawski, S. Pasynkiewicz, A. Kunicki and J. Smola, J. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 65, 161; T. Hirabayashi, K. Inoue, K. Yokota and Y. Ishii, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 92, 139.
- 3 M. B. Power, W. M. Cleaver, A. W. Apblett, A. R. Barron and J. W. Ziller, submitted to *Polyhedron*.
- 4 R. A. Kovar, H. Derr, D. Brandau and J. O. Callaway, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1975, 14, 2809.
- 5 W. Beck, W. Danzer and R. Höfer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1973, 12, 77.
- 6 G. G. Hoffman and C. Burschlea, J. Organomet. Chem., 1984, 267, 229.
- 7 See, for example, K. Dymock, G. J. Palenik, J. Slezak, C. L. Raston and A. H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1976, 28; E. Uhleman and P. Thomas, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1967, 356, 71.
- 8 A. Müller and E. Diemann, in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, eds. G. Wilkinson, R. D. Gillard and J. A. McCleverty, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1988, Chapter 16.1.
- 9 A. Boardman, S. E. Jeffs, R. W. H. Small and I. J. Worrall, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1984, **83**, L39.
- 10 B. Krebs, D. Voelker and K. Stiller, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1982, **65**, L101.
- 11 G. Del Piero, M. Cesari, G. Dozzi and A. Mazzei, J. Organomet. Chem., 1977, **129**, 281.
- 12 A. H. Cowley, R. A. Jones, M. A. Mardones, J. L. Atwood and S. G. Bott, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1990, 29, 1409.
- 13 A. M. Arif and A. R. Barron, Polyhedron, 1988, 7, 2091.
- 14 Iron-Sulfur Proteins, ed. W. Lovenberg, Academic Press, New York, 1973, vols. 1 and 2.
- 15 R. H. Holm, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1981, 455.